

RANDALL HOFLAND

v.

GEORGE F. PERKINS

Submitted on Briefs May 7, 2008

Decided May 15, 2008

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER,
MEAD, and GORMAN, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Randall Hofland appeals from the judgment of the District Court (Belfast, *Nivison, C.J.*) denying relief on his complaint for harassment, 5 M.R.S. §§ 4652-4655 (2007), against George F. Perkins. Hofland asserts that the evidence presented, and other evidence which he should have been allowed to accumulate and present, was sufficient to support a finding of harassment, and that the court committed many procedural, evidentiary and ethical errors in the course of its management of the proceedings. Contrary to Hofland's contentions, the record before the District Court does not compel a finding of harassment and the record demonstrates no procedural impropriety but rather extraordinary patience demonstrated by the court in consideration of Hofland's claims.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Randall Hofland, pro se:

Randall Hofland
PO Box 287
Stockton Springs, Maine 04981

Attorney for George Perkins:

Joseph T. Walsh, Jr.
Walsh Law Offices
6 State Street
Bangor, Maine 04401