STATE OF MAINE

V.

RANDALL HORR

Submitted on Briefs April 30, 2008 Decided May 8, 2008

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, and MEAD, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Randall Horr appeals from a judgment entered in the Superior Court (Cumberland County, *Delahanty*, *J*.) denying his motion for a new trial. Contrary to Horr's contention, the trial court did not abuse its discretion in denying, without holding a hearing, his motion for a new trial based on newly discovered evidence where Horr failed to provide any description of the evidence or support for his claim in his motion. *See State v. Reilly*, 628 A.2d 129, 130 (Me. 1993) (stating that the decision to hold a hearing is within the discretion of the trial court); *State v. Cookson*, 2003 ME 136, ¶¶ 28-29, 837 A.2d 101, 110 (stating that a motion for a new trial on the ground of newly discovered evidence is disfavored and describing what a petitioner must establish to warrant granting the motion).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for Randall Horr:

Temma W. Stout, Esq. Amy L. Fairfield & Associates, P.A. PO Box 635 Kennebunk, Maine 04043

Attorneys for the State of Maine:

Stephanie Anderson, District Attorney Julia Sheridan, Asst. Dist. Atty. Prosecutorial District No. Two 142 Federal Street Portland, Maine 04101