### MADELEINE J. CHEVALIER

V.

## NORMAN P. CHEVALIER

Submitted on Briefs September 10, 2008 Decided September 16, 2008

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD, and GORMAN, JJ.

#### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Norman P. Chevalier appeals from the judgment of the District Court (Springvale, *O'Neil, J.*) finding Norman Chevalier's allegations that Madeleine J. Chevalier was in contempt of prior court orders not proved and denying Norman Chevalier's motion for contempt. M.R. Civ. P. 66(d). Norman Chevalier contends that there was sufficient evidence of contempt and that the court should have held Madeleine Chevalier in contempt for interfering with his access to and contact with the parties' minor child. On the contempt issue, Norman Chevalier had the burden of proof. The record does not compel a finding contrary to the finding reached by the District Court. *See Westleigh v. Conger*, 2000 ME 134, ¶ 12, 755 A.2d 518, 520.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

# Norman Chevalier, pro se:

Norman Chevalier 21 Hanson Ridge Road Sanford, Maine 04073

# **Attorney for Madeleine Chevalier:**

James M. Amendolara, Esq. Caring Unlimited, Inc. PO Box 490 Sanford, Maine 04073