

BARBARA L. (LAETSCH) ANATRA

v.

DAVID P. LAETSCH

Submitted on Briefs January 11, 2007
Decided February 8, 2007

Panel: CLIFFORD, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, LEVY, and SILVER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

David P. Laetsch appeals from a judgment entered in the District Court (Portland, *Goranites, J.*) granting, in part, Barbara L. Anatra's (formerly Laetsch) motion to enforce a divorce judgment. Contrary to Laetsch's contention, the District Court has the inherent power to enforce provisions of the divorce judgment, including an incorporated settlement agreement. *See Metivier v. Metivier*, 582 A.2d 971, 973 (Me. 1990); *Torrey v. Torrey*, 415 A.2d 1092, 1094 (Me. 1980). Laetsch's contention that the court made clearly erroneous findings of fact is also unavailing because the record does not include a transcript of the proceeding. Without an adequate record to permit fair consideration of the issue,

we must assume that the transcript would support the court's findings of fact. M.R. App. P. 5(b)(2)(A); Alexander, *Maine Appellate Practice* § 5(b) at 66-67 (2005).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorneys for plaintiff:

Dori F. Chadbourne, Esq.
Kathleen M. White, Esq.
Chadbourne Law Offices, P.A.
178 Middle Street, Suite 400
Portland, ME 04101-4075

For defendant:

David P. Laetsch
24 Whithead Circle
Portland, ME 04103