

STATE OF MAINE

v.

DANIEL SANVILLE

Submitted on Briefs January 11, 2007
Decided January 25, 2007

Panel: CLIFFORD, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, LEVY, and SILVER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Daniel Sanville appeals from a judgment of conviction for assault (Class D), 17-A M.R.S. § 207(1)(A) (2006), entered in the District Court (Portland, *Goranites, J.*) following a jury-waived trial. Contrary to Sanville’s contentions, the trial record does not establish ineffective assistance of counsel that would entitle him to relief on direct appeal. *See State v. Nichols*, 1997 ME 178, ¶ 3, 698 A.2d 521, 521 (explaining that ineffective assistance of counsel can be raised on direct appeal only “if the appeal record . . . establishes beyond possibility of rational disagreement the existence of representational deficiencies . . . which are plainly beyond rational explanation or justification”) (quotation marks omitted).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorneys for State:

Stephanie Anderson, District Attorney
Julia A. Sheridan, Asst. Dist. Attorney
142 Federal Street
Portland, ME 04101

For defendant:

Daniel L. Sanville
5 Delaware Avenue
South Portland, ME 04106