

JAMES A. HOPKINS

v.

DONALD WEBBER

Submitted on Briefs January 11, 2007  
Decided January 23, 2007

Panel: CLIFFORD, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, LEVY, and SILVER, JJ.

#### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

James A. Hopkins appeals from a dismissal of his protection from harassment complaint against Donald Webber entered in the District Court (Machias, *Romei, J.*). Contrary to Hopkins's contentions, the court did not abuse its discretion in denying Hopkins's motion for recusal, *see In re Kaleb C.*, 2002 ME 65, ¶ 5, 795 A.2d 71, 74; did not lack jurisdiction to consider Hopkins's protection from harassment complaint, *see City of Biddeford v. Holland*, 2005 ME 121, ¶ 6, 886 A.2d 1281, 1284; and did not abuse its discretion or clearly err in admitting evidence alleged to be hearsay, *see State v. Bridges*, 2003 ME 103, ¶ 39, 829 A.2d 247, 258. In addition, Hopkins has not demonstrated that a finding of harassment is compelled by the evidence, *see Westleigh*

v. *Conger*, 2000 ME 134, ¶ 12, 755 A.2d 518, 520, or that the court's finding that he had not met his burden is in error because of the finding's effect on his son and girlfriend, see *Holland v. Sebunya*, 2000 ME 160, ¶ 9 n.6, 759 A.2d 205, 209.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

**For plaintiff:**

James A. Hopkins  
5226 18th Street N  
St. Petersburg, FL 33714

**Defendant did not file a brief.**