

WILLIAM WEISHER et al.

v.

KATHERINE TREDEAU

Submitted on Briefs June 13, 2007

Decided July 5, 2007

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, SILVER,  
and MEAD, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

William and Pamala Weisher appeal a judgment entered in the District Court (Waterville, *Perry, A.R.J.*) dismissing with prejudice their petition for grandparent visitation rights pursuant to the Grandparents Visitation Act, 19-A M.R.S. §§ 1801-1805 (2006).

Contrary to the Weishers' first contention, the trial court did not commit clear error in finding that they had not asserted sufficient facts to establish standing to petition for visitation rights pursuant to the Act. *See* 19-A M.R.S. § 1803(1)(B) (2006); *Robichaud v. Pariseau*, 2003 ME 54, ¶ 10, 820 A.2d 1212, 1216. There is sufficient evidence in the record to support the court's finding that the Weishers

failed to prove that they have a sufficient relationship with their grandchildren. *Id.* ¶ 8, 820 A.2d at 1215.

Contrary to the Weishers' second contention, the trial court did not abuse its discretion by awarding the children's mother, Katherine Tredeau, attorney fees. *See* 19-A M.R.S. § 105(1) (2006); *Urquhart v. Urquhart*, 2004 ME 103, ¶ 6, 854 A.2d 193, 195; *Dargie v. Dargie*, 2001 ME 127, ¶ 31, 778 A.2d 353, 360.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

**Attorney for plaintiffs:**

Joseph M. Baldacci, Esq.  
P.O. Box 1423  
Bangor, ME 04402-1423

**Attorney for defendant:**

Thomas R. McKeon, Esq.  
Richardson Whitman Large & Badger  
P.O. Box 9545  
Portland, ME 04112-9545