

DANIEL J. DOYLE

v.

DANIEL A. BOGGS JR.

Submitted on Briefs June 13, 2007
Decided June 21, 2007

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, SILVER,
and MEAD, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Daniel A. Boggs Jr. appeals from the District Court's (Portland, *Eggert, J.*) denial of his multiple post-judgment motions to vacate and reconsider its order for protection from abuse. *See* 19-A M.R.S. §§ 4007, 4010(1) (2006). Contrary to Boggs's arguments, the court did not abuse its discretion in ruling on his post-judgment motions without a hearing, *see* M.R. Civ. P. 7(b)(5), 59, 60(b); *Ten Voters of the City of Biddeford v. City of Biddeford*, 2003 ME 59, ¶ 11, 822 A.2d 1196, 1201, and in the absence of a transcript or properly prepared statement of the evidence, we assume that the trial court's findings were adequately supported by

evidence in the record, M.R. App. P. 5(d); *In re Cyr*, 2005 ME 61, ¶ 17, 873 A.2d 355, 361.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

For plaintiff:

Daniel J. Doyle
139 Juniper Circle
Gorham, ME 04038

For defendant:

Daniel A. Boggs Jr.
9 St. Mary Street, Apt. 102
Biddeford, ME 04005