

FRANK WATSON

v.

DELIA WATSON

Submitted on Briefs June 28, 2006

Decided July 6, 2006

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS,
LEVY, and SILVER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Frank Watson appeals from a judgment entered in the District Court (Springvale, *Foster, J.*) after a hearing on a motion for contempt. Contrary to his contentions, the court did not (1) abuse its discretion in declining to hear his motion to modify with the motion for contempt, *In re Janna Lynn M.*, 2002 ME 45, ¶ 12, 793 A.2d 506, 509 (“When an appellate decision cannot afford the appellants any effective relief, we do not review the case.”); *see State v. Cobb*, 2006 ME 43, ¶ 10 n.5, 895 A.2d 972, 975-76; (2) err when it denied his motion for directed verdict and found that he willfully and intentionally violated a court order, *see Budzko v. One City Ctr. Assocs.*, 2001 ME 37, ¶ 9, 767 A.2d 310, 313; *In re*

Charles G., 2001 ME 3, ¶ 5, 763 A.2d 1163, 1165-66; (3) erroneously admit irrelevant hearsay evidence at the contempt hearing, *see State v. Crocker*, 435 A.2d 1109, 1113 (Me. 1981); or (4) abuse its discretion when it required supervised visits as a result of his contempt, *see Richards v. Thompson*, 2004 ME 25, ¶¶ 5, 6 n.4, 11, 842 A.2d 1289, 1291-92.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for plaintiff:

Paul Aranson, Esq.
Scaccia, Lenkowski & Aranson
P.O. Box 929
Sanford, ME 04073

Attorney for defendant:

Donna J. Johnson, Esq.
Ferguson & Johnson, P.A.
P.O. Box 97
Springvale, ME 04083