

LORI SAFFORD

v.

DAVID HOLLIS

Submitted on Briefs March 22, 2006
Decided March 30, 2006

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, LEVY, and
SILVER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

David Hollis appeals from an order of the District Court (Rockland, *Anderson, J.*) affirming a post-judgment child support order (Rockland, *Kidman, M.*). Hollis argues that the court improperly imputed income to him. There is no transcript, and thus the facts found in the family law magistrate's order are deemed to be supported by the record. *See Alley v. Alley*, 2002 ME 162, ¶ 2, 809 A.2d 1262, 1262. As such, and contrary to Hollis's contentions, the magistrate did not abuse her discretion by imputing income to him. *See Wrenn v. Lewis*, 2003 ME

29, ¶ 18, 818 A.2d 1005, 1010; *Sylvester v. Vitagliano*, 2002 ME 141, ¶¶ 9-10, 804 A.2d 391, 393-94.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

For plaintiff:

Lori Safford
18 Oak Street
Camden, ME 04843

Attorney for defendant:

Thomas P. Hineman, Esq.
Kelly & Associates, LLC
96 High Street
Belfast, ME 04915