

EUGENE L. PUTNAM

v.

BOARD OF LICENSURE FOR PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS

Submitted on Briefs November 29, 2005
Decided January 5, 2006

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS,
LEVY, and SILVER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Eugene L. Putnam appeals the judgment entered in the District Court (Augusta, *Westcott, J.*), pursuant to M.R. App. P. 2 and 5 M.R.S.A. §§ 11001-11008 (2002), which affirmed the decision and order of the Board of Licensure for Professional Land Surveyors.¹ Contrary to the contentions of Putnam, the court's review of the agency decision was adequate, *see* M.R. Civ. P. 80C; he was not denied due process, *see Fichter v. Bd. of Env'tl. Prot.*, 604 A.2d

¹ The Board revoked Putnam's license to practice as a land surveyor for two years and ordered him to reimburse the Board for the \$1235 cost of the hearing because it found that he violated two provisions of the Board's statute, 32 M.R.S.A. § 13909(3)(B), (D) (1999), and three provisions of the Board's rules, 7 C.M.R. 02 360 090-2 to -3, pt. 2 §§ (2), (3), (6) (2001).

433, 436-37 (Me. 1992); 7 C.M.R. 02 360 090-2 to -3, pt. 2 §§ (2), (3), (6) (2001) is not unlawful, *see* 5 M.R.S.A. § 8058(1) (2002); *Harding v. Sheridan D. Smith, Inc.*, 647 A.2d 1193, 1194 (Me. 1994); and the Board acted within its discretion when it revoked his license and ordered him to reimburse the Board for the cost of the hearing, *see* 10 M.R.S.A. §§ 8003(5)(A-1)(2-A), 8003-D (Supp. 2004).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

For plaintiff:

Eugene L. Putnam
P.O. Box 13
Hampden, ME 04444

Attorneys for defendant:

G. Steven Rowe, Attorney General
Dennis E. Smith, Asst. Atty. Gen.
6 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0006