

IN RE RACHEL B.

Submitted on Briefs January 20, 2006
Decided February 1, 2006
Revised February 9, 2006

Panel: CLIFFORD, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, LEVY, and SILVER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The mother of Rachel B. appeals from a judgment entered in the District Court (Portland, *Bradley, J.*) denying both her motion for relief from the judgment terminating her parental rights, pursuant to M.R. Civ. P. 60(b), and her motion to intervene pursuant to M.R. Civ. P. 24(b). The court did not exceed its discretion by denying the mother's motion for relief from judgment. *See Dep't of Human Servs. v. Blaisdell*, 2004 ME 62, ¶ 7, 847 A.2d 404, 405. Moreover, the court did not err as a matter of law or exceed its discretion by denying her motion to intervene because there is no common question of law or fact between the mother and the child. *See* 22 M.R.S. § 4056(1) (2005) (stating that an order terminating parental rights divests the parent of all legal rights and privileges); *First Union*

Nat'l Bank v. Curtis, 2005 ME 108, ¶ 8, 882 A.2d 796, 798; *Doe v. Roe*, 495 A.2d 1235, 1238 n.5 (Me. 1985).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for appellant:

James S. Hewes, Esq.
80 Exchange Street, Suite 400
Portland, ME 04101

Attorneys for appellee:

G. Steven Rowe, Attorney General
Elizabeth J. Wyman, Asst. Atty. Gen.
Michael C. Kearney, Asst. Atty. Gen.
6 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0006

Guardian ad Litem:

Kevin R. Heffernan, Esq.
503 Woodford Street, 2nd Floor
Portland, ME 04103