DISCOVER BANK

V.

LUCIEN P. LEJA

Submitted on Briefs October 20, 2006 Decided October 31, 2006

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, LEVY, and SILVER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Lucien P. Leja appeals from a judgment entered in District Court (Portland, Eggert, J.) in favor of Discover Bank for the amount of \$5096.65, plus interest and costs. Contrary to Leja's contentions, a contractual relationship does exist between a bank and an individual who uses the bank's credit card. See 2A DEBTOR-CREDITOR LAW, § 21.12(1) (Theodore Eisenberg ed., 2005). Because Leja did not procure a transcript of the trial, we assume that there was sufficient evidence to support the trial court's findings and judgment. $In\ re\ Cyr$, 2005 ME 61, ¶ 17, 873 A.2d 355, 361. Even without the transcript, the exhibits admitted at trial are

sufficient to support the trial court's judgment in favor of the Bank. See City of Bangor v. Diva's, Inc., 2003 ME 51, ¶ 7, 830 A.2d 898, 901.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for plaintiff:

M. Jane Moriarty, Esq. Law Offices of Howard Lee Schiff, PC 1321 Washington Avenue Portland, ME 04103

For defendant:

Lucien P. Leja 99 Silver Street, Apt. 4-6 Portland, ME 04101