### KATHLEEN A. MISHKIN

V.

#### PETER MURPHY et al.

Submitted on Briefs October 20, 2006 Decided October 27, 2006

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, LEVY, and SILVER, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Peter and Mary Sue Murphy appeal from a summary judgment entered by the Superior Court (Washington County, *Hunter*, *J*.) declaring that the Murphys are encroaching on the property of Kathleen A. Mishkin and must remove a workshop they constructed on the property. On the record presented, we discern no error in the court's entry of the summary judgment. M.R. Civ. P. 56(h)(4); *Univ. of New Eng. v. Weinstein*, 2001 ME 108, ¶ 2, 777 A.2d 829, 829-30.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

\_\_\_\_\_

# **Attorney for plaintiff:**

John P. Foster, Esq. 71 Water Street Eastport, ME 04631

# **Attorney for defendants:**

Norman Toffolon, Esq. P.O. Box 58 Machias, ME 04654