

SANDRA FITCH

v.

W. SCHAFER BEAN

Submitted on Briefs April 6, 2006
Decided August 22, 2006

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, DANA, ALEXANDER, LEVY, and SILVER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

W. Schafer Bean appeals from a protection from harassment order entered against him in the District Court (Portland, *Beaudoin, J.*). Contrary to Bean's contention, the court did not err or exceed the bounds of its discretion when it entered the harassment order against him because the court's findings are supported by the evidence. *See* 5 M.R.S. § 4651(2)(A) (2005); *Smith v. Hawthorne*, 2002 ME 149, ¶ 15, 804 A.2d 1133, 1138; *Smith v. Welch*, 645 A.2d 1130, 1132-33 (Me. 1994); (noting that we conduct a limited review of the record to determine "whether competent evidence exists to support the trial court's factual conclusions"). We find that Bean's remaining contention is without merit.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for plaintiff:

Thomas J. Connolly, Esq.
P.O. Box 7563
Portland, ME 04112

Attorney for defendant:

Theodore H. Irwin Jr., Esq.
Irwin Tardy & Morris
52 Center Street
Portland, ME 04101