

CHARLES ROUNDY

v.

TOWN OF YORK

Submitted on Briefs July 18, 2006
Decided August 3, 2006

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, and SILVER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Charles Roundy appeals from a summary judgment entered in the Superior Court (York County, *Fritzsche, J.*) in favor of the Town of York. The issue in the present case is the sufficiency of the property description in a tax deed conveying real estate that was owned by Roundy's grandmother and then purchased by the Town in 1934 for back property taxes. Contrary to Roundy's contention, the court did not err in concluding that the property description was legally sufficient to convey title to the Town. *See* R.S. ch. 14, § 28 (1930); *Nadeau v. Town of Oakfield*, 572 A.2d 491, 491-92 (Me. 1990); *Oceanic Hotel Co. v. Angell*, 143 Me. 160, 162, 57 A.2d 143, 144 (1948).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorneys for the plaintiff:

John C. Bannon, Esq.
John B. Shumadine, Esq.
Murray, Plumb & Murray
75 Pearl Street
P.O. Box 9785
Portland, ME 04104

Attorney for the defendant:

Susan B. Driscoll, Esq.
Bergen & Parkinson
62 Portland Road
Kennebunk, ME 04043