

IN RE AHMIK W.

Submitted on Briefs January 20, 2006
Decided January 25, 2006

Panel: CLIFFORD, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, LEVY, and SILVER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The parents of Ahmik W. appeal from a judgment entered in the District Court (Augusta, *French, J.*) terminating their rights pursuant to 22 M.R.S.A. § 4055(1) (2004). Contrary to the parents' contentions, the court rationally could have found clear and convincing evidence to support its conclusion that the parents were unable to protect Ahmik from jeopardy and those circumstances were unlikely to change within a time reasonably calculated to meet his needs. *Id.* § 4055(1)(B)(2)(b)(i); see *In re Thomas D.*, 2004 ME 104, ¶ 21, 854 A.2d 195, 201. Additionally, the court rationally could have found clear and convincing evidence to support its conclusion that the father failed to make a good faith effort to rehabilitate and reunify with Ahmik pursuant to 22 M.R.S.A. § 4041 (2004 &

Supp. 2005). 22 M.R.S.A. § 4055(1)(B)(2)(b)(iv); *see In re Thomas D.*, 2004 ME 104, ¶ 21, 854 A.2d at 201.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorneys for appellants:

Harold J. Hainke, Esq.
Hainke & Tash
P O Box 192
Whitefield, ME 04353

Jeffrey S. Dolley, Esq.
145 Lisbon Street, 3rd Floor
Lewiston, ME 04240

Attorneys for defendant:

G. Steven Rowe, Attorney General
Page Lockhart, Asst. Atty. Gen.
Gregg D. Bernstein, Asst. Atty. Gen.
6 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0006

Guardian *ad Litem*:

Polly Reeves, Esq.
P.O. Box 5203
Augusta, ME 04330