

IN RE MAHAYLA O. et al.

Submitted on Briefs July 18, 2006
Decided July 27, 2006

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, LEVY, and
SILVER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The father appeals from a decision and order entered in the District Court (Rockland, *Anderson, J.*) granting the Department of Health and Human Services' petition for termination of parental rights as to Mahayla O. and Makayla O. Contrary to the father's contentions, the record supports the court's findings, by clear and convincing evidence, that one of the statutory conditions demonstrating lack of parental fitness exists. *See* 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(B)(2) (2005); *In re Annie A.*, 2001 ME 105, ¶ 18, 774 A.2d 378, 383. Additionally, there was sufficient evidence, and the court did not abuse its discretion in finding, by clear and convincing evidence, that termination was in the children's best interests. *See id.*; *In re Thomas H.*, 2005 ME 123, ¶ 16, 889 A.2d 297, 301.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for the appellant:

William Pagnano, Esq.
431 Main Street
Rockland, ME 04841

Attorneys for the appellee:

G. Steven Rowe, Attorney General
Matthew Pollack, AAG
State House Station 6
Augusta, ME 04333

Guardian Ad Litem:

Philip Cohen, Esq.
P.O. Box 1
Waldoboro, ME 04572