IN RE JAZMINE L. et al.

Submitted on Briefs July 18, 2006 Decided July 27, 2006

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, LEVY, and SILVER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The father of Jazmine, Jordan, Nathaniel, and Michael L. appeals from an order of the District Court (South Paris, *Lawrence*, *J.*) terminating his parental rights after we remanded. *In re Jazmine L.*, 2004 ME 125, 861 A.2d 1277. Contrary to the father's contentions, there was sufficient evidence in the record supporting the court's finding by clear and convincing evidence that the father was unable or unwilling to protect each child from jeopardy within a time reasonably calculated to meet their needs, and that termination of the father's parental rights was in each child's best interests. 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(B)(2) (2005); *In re Thomas H.*, 2005 ME 123, ¶ 16, 18, 889 A.2d 297, 301-02.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for the appellant:

Maurice Porter, Esq. P.O. Box 149 Norway, ME 04268

Attorneys for the appellee:

G. Steven Rowe, Attorney General Matthew Pollack, AAG State House Station 6 Augusta, ME 04333

Guardian Ad Litem:

Elizabeth Ray, Esq. 49 Weld Street P.O. Box 616 Dixfield, ME 04224