

MICHAEL D. MURRAY

v.

DONNA M. MURRAY

Submitted on Briefs April 20, 2005
Decided May 11, 2005

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, CALKINS, and
LEVY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Donna M. Murray appeals from a divorce judgment entered in the District Court (Springvale, *Griffiths, A.R.J.*). Contrary to Donna's contentions, the court (1) sufficiently explained the basis for its findings with respect to its valuation of the marital residence and its decision to set aside the Mustang automobile as Michael's nonmarital property, *see Dargie v. Dargie*, 2001 ME 127, ¶ 3, 778 A.2d 353, 355; (2) did not exceed the bounds of its discretion in valuing the Murrays' investment accounts, *see Warren v. Warren*, 2005 ME 9, ¶ 21, 866 A.2d 97, 101;

Kaye v. Kaye, 538 A.2d 288, 289 (Me. 1988) (holding that the marital property presumption remains in effect until a marriage is finally dissolved, but placing no constraints on a court's discretion to divide marital property); (3) did not clearly err in finding that Donna did not request spousal support, *see Doucette v. Washburn*, 2001 ME 38, ¶ 7, 766 A.2d 578, 581; (4) did not exceed the bounds of its discretion in failing to divide the Murrays' joint debt, *see* 19-A M.R.S.A. § 953(9) (1998); and (5) properly addressed the criteria set forth in 19-A M.R.S.A. § 953(1) (1998) and did not exceed the bounds of its discretion in dividing the marital residence, *see Warren*, 2005 ME 9, ¶ 21, 866 A.2d at 101.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for plaintiff:

Kevin G. Grimes, P.A.
82 Portland Road
Kennebunk, ME 04043

Attorney for defendant:

Dana E. Prescott, Esq.
Prescott Lemoine Jamieson & Nelson, LLC
P.O. Box 1190
Saco, ME 04072