

STATE OF MAINE

v.

JOHN CARDOZA

Submitted on Briefs February 3, 2005
Decided February 15, 2005

Panel: CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, and LEVY,
JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

John Cardoza appeals from a judgment entered in the District Court (Bangor, *Douglas, J.*) convicting him of violation of a protection from harassment order, 5 M.R.S.A. § 4659(1) (2002) (Class D). Cardoza's sole contention on appeal is that the court abused its discretion in denying his request for substitute counsel without making a more extensive inquiry into the reasons for the request. Taking the allegations in Cardoza's written request as true, they did not demonstrate good cause for the appointment of substitute counsel, and the court

did not abuse its discretion in refusing to grant the request or in failing to make a more extensive inquiry. *State v. Goodine*, 587 A.2d 228, 229-30 (Me. 1991).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for State:

R. Christopher Almy, District Attorney
C. Daniel Wood, Assistant Dist. Attorney
97 Hammond Street
Bangor, ME 04401

Attorney for defendant:

Kirk D. Bloomer, Esq.
Bloomer Law Office, P.A.
12 Acme Road, Suite 202B
Brewer, ME 04412