

STATE OF MAINE

v.

MOHAMMED H. ALSAADI

Submitted on Briefs December 13, 2005  
Decided December 16, 2005

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS,  
and LEVY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Mohammed H. Alsaadi appeals from an adjudication by the District Court (Portland, *Beaudoin, J.*) of having committed the civil traffic infraction of operating a vehicle in excess of maximum speed, in violation of 29-A M.R.S.A. § 2073(3) (1996). On appeal, he contests the evidence on which his adjudication is based. He also asserts that he was discriminated against on the basis of his national origin, and that he was deprived of his right to speak at his trial. Alsaadi did not file a transcript of the District Court proceedings or a statement of the evidence pursuant to M.R. App. P. 5.

Alsaadi has the burden of supporting his appeal with a record sufficient in content to permit fair consideration of the issues. *State v. Hughes*, 2004 ME 141, ¶ 7, 863 A.2d 266, 269. “[F]actual assertions in the brief are not suitable substitutes for a record.” *State v. Hanson*, 483 A.2d 723, 725 (Me. 1984). Without a transcript or statement of the evidence, this Court assumes that there is sufficient evidence to support the trial court’s findings. *Hughes*, 2004 ME 141, ¶ 7, 863 A.2d at 269. In addition, Alsaadi has not demonstrated that he established the necessary evidentiary basis in the District Court for his claims of discrimination and a due process violation. *See State v. Meyer*, 423 A.2d 955, 957 (Me. 1980).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

**Attorneys for State:**

Stephanie Anderson, District Attorney  
Julia A. Sheridan, Asst. Dist. Attorney  
142 Federal Street  
Portland, ME 04101

**For defendant:**

Mohammed H. Alsaadi  
P.O. Box 11565  
Portland, ME 04104