

In re IVY W. et al.

Submitted on Briefs September 16, 2005  
Decided November 7, 2005

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS,  
LEVY, and SILVER, JJ.

#### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The mother of Ivy and Austin W. appeals from the denial by the District Court (Portland, *Beaudoin, J.*) of her motion for relief from the consent judgment that terminated her parental rights pursuant to M.R. Civ. P. 60(b). We conclude, contrary to the mother's contentions, that the court (1) did not commit clear error in finding that the mother failed to meet her burden of proving that the judgment should be set aside, *Rand v. Bath Iron Works Corp.*, 2003 ME 122, ¶ 10, 832 A.2d 771, 773; *Copp v. Liberty*, 2003 ME 43, ¶ 8, 818 A.2d 1050, 1053; *Estate of McCormick*, 2001 ME 24, ¶ 35, 765 A.2d 552, 564; and (2) did not, therefore, abuse its discretion in denying the requested relief, *see In re Danielle B.*, 685 A.2d 770, 771 (Me. 1996).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

**Attorney for appellant:**

Maura Keaveney, Esq.  
234 Brighton Avenue  
Portland, ME 04102

**Attorneys for the State:**

G. Steven Rowe, Attorney General  
Matthew Pollack, AAG  
Michael G. Keefe, AAG  
State House Station 6  
Augusta, ME 04333

**Guardian Ad Litem:**

Andrew Bloom, Esq.  
P.O. Box 8306  
Portland, ME 04104