

STATE OF MAINE

v.

VUTHA MAM

Submitted on Briefs January 10, 2005
Decided January 14, 2005

Panel: CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, and
LEVY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Vutha Mam appeals from a judgment entered in the Superior Court (Cumberland County, *Brodrick, A.R.J.*) following a jury-waived trial on the charge of aggravated assault (Class B), 17-A M.R.S.A. § 208(1)(A) (1983). Mam argues that the admission of questions posed by the prosecution on cross-examination was obvious error requiring reversal, and there was insufficient evidence to support his conviction. Contrary to Mam's contentions, the admission of the prosecutor's questions did not create a serious injustice or deprive Mam of a fair trial, *State v. Sweeney*, 2004 ME 123, ¶ 10, 861 A.2d 43, 45, and there was sufficient evidence

for a fact-finder to have rationally found every element of the crime charged beyond a reasonable doubt, *see State v. Pheng*, 2002 ME 40, ¶ 6, 791 A.2d 925, 927; *State v. Turner*, 2001 ME 44, ¶ 6, 766 A.2d 1025, 1027.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorneys for State:

Stephanie Anderson, District Attorney
Julia A. Sheridan, Asst. Dist. Attorney
142 Federal Street
Portland, ME 04101

Attorney for defendant:

Robert A. Levine, Esq.
17 South Street
Portland, ME 04101