

THOMAS M. MANGAN

v.

CAROL B. MANGAN

Submitted on Briefs December 12, 2003  
Decided January 8, 2004

Panel: CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, and  
LEVY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Thomas M. Mangan appeals from a divorce judgment entered in the District Court (Lewiston, *McElwee, J.*) allocating various property and debts. Contrary to Thomas's contentions, the District Court did not abuse its discretion in applying the law and awarding property and allocating debts to each party. *See Axtell v. Axtell*, 482 A.2d 1261, 1263 (Me. 1984) (disposition of marital property); *Chamberlin v. Chamberlin*, 2001 ME 167, ¶ 4, 785 A.2d 1247, 1249 (division of marital debt). It also did not abuse its discretion by declining to issue supplemental findings of fact and conclusions of law because the court had previously issued findings of fact and conclusions of law, and Thomas failed to specify the issue or issues for which he desired additional findings and conclusions. *See Bayley v.*

*Bayley*, 602 A.2d 1152, 1154 (Me. 1992). Furthermore, competent evidence exists in the record to support the court's findings as to Carol's nonmarital interest in the parties' real estate and a generator, *see Williams v. Williams*, 645 A.2d 1118, 1119-20 (Me. 1994); and the court did not abuse its discretion in declining to award spousal support to Thomas because competent evidence supported the court's application of the statutory factors and its determination that the parties had comparable earning capacities, *see Warner v. Warner*, 2002 ME 156, ¶ 38, 807 A.2d 607, 622 (explaining that statutory factors include income history and income potential).

Thomas's remaining contentions are without merit.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

For plaintiff:

Thomas M. Mangan  
P O Box 3112  
Lewiston, ME 04243

Attorney for defendant:

Neil S. Shankman, Esq.  
Shankman & Associates  
11 Lisbon Street  
Lewiston, ME 04240