

STATE OF MAINE

v.

SCOTT VAN TASSEL

Submitted on Briefs November 1, 2004
Decided November 17, 2004

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER,
and LEVY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Scott Van Tassel appeals from a judgment of conviction following a bench trial in the District Court (Biddeford, *Foster, J.*) in which he was found guilty of violating a protection from harassment order pursuant to 15 M.R.S.A. § 321(6) (2003). Contrary to Van Tassel's contention, the evidence was sufficient to sustain his conviction for violation of the protection from harassment order by making phone calls. *See State v. McCurdy*, 2002 ME 66, ¶ 10, 795 A.2d 84, 88. In addition, the court did not exceed the bounds of its discretion in declining to allow Van Tassel a continuance to seek counsel because Van Tassel had seven months to do so. *See State v. Dechaine*, 572 A.2d 130, 132-33 (Me. 1990).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorneys for State:

Mark Lawrence, District Attorney
Justina McGettigan, Asst. Dist. Atty.
Tara K. Bates, Esq., of Counsel
P O Box 399
Alfred, ME 04002-0399

For defendant:

Scott Van Tassel
286 Main Street, Apt 202
Biddeford, ME 04005