

DENISE K. COLLIER

v.

RAYMOND M. VORCE, III

Submitted on Briefs June 26, 2003
Decided July 9, 2003

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER,
and CALKINS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Raymond Vorce appeals from an order entered in the District Court (Biddeford, *Janelle, J.*) denying his motion to modify, suspend, or revoke the court's November 21, 2001, order finding him in contempt and requiring him to pay Denise Collier \$250 per week. Contrary to Vorce's contention, he failed to establish a change in his financial circumstances since the earlier order, *see* 14 M.R.S.A. § 3129 (2003), and thus, the court did not err in denying his motion. *See Sprague v. Washburn*, 447 A.2d 784, 787 (Me. 1982); *Stickney v. City of Saco*, 2001 ME 69, ¶ 13, 770 A.2d 592, 600.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for plaintiff:

Robert E. Mongue, Esq.
P O Box 7986
Portland, ME 04112

Attorney for defendant:

Stev Y. Parker, Esq.
Daggett & Parker
P O Box 10189
Portland, ME 04104