

ALEXANDER G. JARVIE JR.

v.

LURA D. JARVIE

On Briefs May 29, 2003

Decided June 18, 2003

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER,  
and CALKINS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Lura Jarvie appeals from an amended divorce judgment entered on November 27, 2002, by the District Court (Bar Harbor, *Staples, J.*). Contrary to Lura's arguments, the court, in a well-reasoned opinion, did not err in determining the marital and nonmarital components of the parties' real estate, *see Doucette v. Washburn*, 2001 ME 38, ¶ 7, 766 A.2d 578, 581; in determining that her retirement savings were marital property, *see id.*; in ordering that they be divided equitably, *see id.* ¶ 24, 766 A.2d at 586; or in ordering that Lura pay Alexander's share to him immediately rather than issuing a QDRO, *see Prue v. Prue*, 420 A.2d 257, 259 (Me. 1980); nor did the court err in declining to grant more than nominal spousal

support, *see Libby v. Libby*, 2001 ME 130, ¶ 8, 781 A.2d 773, 775. For the same reasons, we are unpersuaded by Alexander Jarvie's cross-appeal arguing that the court should have attributed more of the parties' real estate to the marital component.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

Attorney for plaintiff:

Roger G. Innes, Esq.  
P O Box 240  
Mt. Desert, ME 04660-0240

Attorney for defendant:

Joel A Dearborn Sr., Esq.  
120 North Main Street  
Brewer, ME 04412