## HOLLY J. VAN OSTRAND

V.

## ANTHONY B. HYDE

## Submitted on Briefs December 13, 2002 Decided January 15, 2003

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS, and LEVY, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Holly J. Van Ostrand appeals from a divorce judgment entered in the District Court (Rockland, *Anderson, J.*). Contrary to the contentions of Van Ostrand, the trial court did not err in its determination of what property was part of the marital estate, *see* 19-A M.R.S.A. § 953(2)-(3) (1998 & Supp. 2002); *see also Veilleux v. Veilleux*, 565 A.2d 95, 96 (Me. 1989) (party asserting that property acquired during the marriage is nonmarital has the burden to overcome the statutory presumption that all such property is marital); the court did not exceed its discretion by dividing the marital estate equally between the parties, *see* 19-A M.R.S.A. § 953(1) (1998); *Robinson v. Robinson*, 2000 ME 101, ¶ 9, 751 A.2d 457, 459; and the court did not

exceed its discretion in declining to award spousal support, see 19-A M.R.S.A. § 951-A(2), (5) (Supp. 2002); Noyes v. Noyes, 662 A.2d 921, 922 (Me. 1995).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorneys for plaintiff:

William S. Maddox, Esq. P O Box 1202 Rockland, ME 04841

Anita M. Volpe, Esq. P O Box 692 Rockland, ME 04841

Attorney for defendant:

Stephen W. Hanscom, Esq. Crandall, Hanscom & Collins, P.A. P O Box 664 Rockland, ME 04841