

MARY K. (LEIGHTON) NELSON

v.

LESLEY H. LEIGHTON

On Briefs October 23, 2003
Decided November 4, 2003

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER,
CALKINS, and LEVY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Lesley H. Leighton appeals from a judgment entered in the District Court (Biddeford, *Foster, J.*) finding that Leighton failed to prove a substantial change in circumstances that would support a modification or elimination of the obligation to provide health insurance for Mary K. Nelson and her two daughters. Contrary to Leighton's contentions, the court did not commit clear error when it construed the insurance provision to require coverage of her daughters until the age of twenty-five as long as they remained dependents and college students. *Thompson v. Rothman*, 2002 ME 39, ¶ 8, 791 A.2d 921, 924. The record also supports the

court's finding that neither Nelson's remarriage, *St. Heart v. Abbott*, 1998 ME 67, ¶ 7, 707 A.2d 1322, 1324, nor income from property allocated to her in the divorce judgment, *see Haag v. Haag*, 609 A.2d 1164, 1165 (Me. 1992), constitute a substantial change in circumstances. *Largay v. Largay*, 2000 ME 108, ¶ 11, 752 A.2d 194, 197.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for plaintiff:

Neal K. Stillman, Esq.
97A Exchange Street
Portland, ME 04101

Attorney for defendant:

Mark G. Furey, Esq.
Thompson, Bull, Furey, Bass & MacColl, LLC. P.A.
P O Box 447
Portland, ME 04112-0447