STATE OF MAINE

V.

RICHARD M. SUCHAR

Submitted on Briefs September 25, 2003 Decided September 29, 2003

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER, and CALKINS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Richard M. Suchar appeals from a judgment entered in the Superior Court (Kennebec County, *Marden, J.*) after a jury trial finding him guilty of five counts of failure to make and file a State of Maine income tax return for the years 1995-96 and 1998-2000 (Class D), pursuant to 36 M.R.S.A. § 5332 (1990). Contrary to Suchar's contentions, section 5332 is constitutional, *see State v. McGillicuddy*, 646 A.2d 354, 355 (Me. 1994); section 5332 is not superceded by the civil enforcement provisions of Title 36, *see State v. Pickering*, 462 A.2d 1151, 1159-63 (Me. 1983); the Attorney General does have the authority to choose between pursuing civil or

criminal charges, see State v. Anderson, 409 A.2d 1290, 1306 (1979); the Attorney General's Office does have the authority to collect, enforce, and prosecute tax violations, 5 M.R.S.A. § 200-A (2002); the release of Suchar's federal tax information was proper, see Taylor v. United States, 106 F.3d 833, 835-37 (8th Cir. 1997); the trial court did not exceed the bounds of its discretion by not dismissing the State's case for failure to provide Suchar automatic discovery, see State v. Sargent, 656 A.2d 1196, 1199 (Me. 1995); Suchar's rights were not violated when he met with the Revenue Service agents, see State v. Higgins, 2002 ME 77, ¶ 12, 796 A.2d 50, 54; and the court did not err by not dismissing the State's case on the basis of juror bias, see State v. Lowry, 2003 ME 38, ¶ 7, 819 A.2d 331, 333-34.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorneys for State:

G. Steven Rowe, Attorney General William Baghdoyan, Asst. Attorney General 6 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333-0006

For defendant:

Richard M. Suchar P O Box 6328 China Village, ME 04926