

GINA STOLL

v.

LINDA DEPAULIS-TRAVIS

Submitted on Briefs September 2, 2003
Decided September 10, 2003

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER,
and CALKINS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Linda Depaulis-Travis appeals from a protection from harassment order entered after a hearing in District Court (Portland, *Beaudoin, J.*) in favor of Gina Stoll. First, the court did not abuse its discretion in denying Depaulis-Travis's third request for a continuance, made by telephone on the day of the hearing. *See Wright & Mills v. Bispham*, 2002 ME 123, ¶¶ 13-14, 802 A.2d 430, 433-34. Second, because Depaulis-Travis did not raise the issue of lack of personal jurisdiction prior to the hearing in District Court and because she has not provided us with a transcript of the hearing or a statement of the evidence pursuant to M.R.

App. P. 5(d), we must assume that the court did not clearly err in finding that she harassed Stoll and did not commit obvious error in declining to dismiss the complaint for lack of personal jurisdiction. *See Alley v. Alley*, 2002 ME 162, ¶ 2, 809 A.2d 1262, 1262.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for plaintiff:

Martin J. Foley, Esq.
Wilson Fernald & Foley
P O Box 15337
Portland, Me 04112-5337

For defendant:

Linda DePaulis-Travis
1324 Monongahela Blvd.
White Oak, PA 15131