

IN RE MYKEL H.

Submitted on Briefs May 30, 2002
Decided June 4, 2002

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER, CALKINS,
and LEVY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The father of Mykel H. appeals from a judgment entered in the District Court (Biddeford, *Foster, J.*) terminating his parental rights. The father does not contest the findings of parental unfitness. *See* 22 M.R.S.A. § 4055(1)(B)(2)(b) (1992). He argues that the court erred in finding that the termination was in the child's best interest. *See* 22 M.R.S.A. § 4055(1)(B)(2)(a). Despite the father's assertions that he maintained contact with Mykel and that Mykel enjoyed visiting with him, there was sufficient evidence in the record to support the trial court's finding that termination of the father's parental rights was in Mykel's best interest. *See* 22 M.R.S.A. § 4055(1)(B)(2); *see also In re Ashley A.*, 679 A.2d 86, 89-90 (Me. 1996).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for appellant:

Christopher W. Dilworth, Esq.
P O Box 6105
Falmouth, ME 04105

Attorneys for appellee:

G. Steven Rowe, Attorney General
Janice S. Stuver, Asst. Attorney General
Elizabeth Stout, Asst. Attorney General
6 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0006

Guardian *ad Litem*:

Glenda Lovell, Esq.
P O Box 1229
Kennebunkport, ME 04046

Attorney for mother:

Donna Bailey, Esq.
88 North Main Street
Saco, ME 04072

(address)