### VIET TRAN

V.

#### MYKHA NGUYEN

## Submitted on Briefs February 11, 2002 Decided March 19, 2002

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, and ALEXANDER, JJ.

### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Viet Tran appeals from a divorce judgment entered in the District Court (Lewiston, *Horton, J.*) awarding Mykha Nguyen reimbursement support pursuant to 19-A M.R.S.A. § 951-A(2)(C) (Supp. 2001). Tran argues that the District Court abused its discretion when it made a finding that exceptional circumstances existed. We are unable to evaluate this assertion because the transcript of the divorce hearing, which contains the evidentiary basis for the divorce judgment, was not submitted with the record. *Berry v. Berry*, 388 A.2d 108, 109-10 (Me. 1978); *see also Faulkingham v. Seacoast Subaru, Inc.*, 619 A.2d 987, 988 (1993). Moreover, contrary to Tran's additional contention, no error of law appears on the face of the judgment. 19-A M.R.S.A. § 951-(A)(2)(C).

# The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for plaintiff:

E. Chris L'Hommedieu, Esq. 54 Pine Street Lewiston, ME 04240

Attorney for defendant:

Lindsay Cadwallader, Esq. Pine Tree Legal Assisance, Inc. P O Box 547 Portland, ME 04112-0547