

IN RE CHILD OF TYLER W.

Submitted on Briefs September 25, 2024

Decided October 3, 2024

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, HORTON, CONNORS, and LAWRENCE, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Tyler W. appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Bridgton, *Woodman, J.*) terminating his parental rights to his child. Contrary to the father's contentions, there is sufficient evidence in the record to support the court's findings regarding parental unfitness, and the court did not clearly err in its finding of the father's parental unfitness.<sup>1</sup> See 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(B)(2)(b)(i)-(ii) (2024); *In re Children of Quincy A.*, 2023 ME 49, ¶¶ 5-7, 10, 12-13, 18, 300 A.3d 832; *In re Children of Anthony M.*, 2018 ME 146, ¶¶ 6-11, 195 A.3d 1229 (stating that "[m]arginal progress toward reunification and a simple desire to remain parents is not enough to ameliorate jeopardy" (quotation marks omitted)); *In re Children of Corey W.*, 2019 ME 4, ¶¶ 14-17, 20, 199 A.3d 683.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

<sup>1</sup> The father does not challenge the court's determination that termination of his parental rights is in the child's best interest. Regardless, we determine that competent record evidence supports the court's findings regarding the child's best interest and that the court did not clearly err or abuse its discretion in terminating the father's parental rights. See, e.g., 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(B)(2)(a) (2024); *In re Children of Quincy A.*, 2023 ME 49, ¶¶ 8, 10, 26, 300 A.3d 832; *In re Children of Christopher S.*, 2019 ME 31, ¶¶ 7-11, 203 A.3d 808; *In re B.P.*, 2015 ME 139, ¶ 19, 126 A.3d 713.

---

Jason A. MacLean, Esq., Bridgton, for appellant father

Aaron M. Frey, Attorney General, and Hunter C. Umphrey, Asst. Atty. Gen., Office of the Attorney General, Bangor, for appellee Department of Health and Human Services

Bridgton District Court docket number PC-2020-13  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY