

STATE OF MAINE

v.

JEFFREY PERROTTI

Submitted on Briefs March 27, 2024
Decided April 11, 2024

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, HORTON, CONNORS, LAWRENCE, and DOUGLAS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Jeffrey Perrotti appeals from an adjudication by the Violations Bureau (*Tierney, J.*) determining that he committed a traffic infraction by failing to use a nonadjacent lane when “passing a stationary authorized emergency vehicle using an emergency light.”¹ 29-A M.R.S. § 2054(9) (2023). Contrary to Perrotti’s contentions, we conclude that competent evidence from the record supports the court’s findings of “each element of the charge,” and the court did not err. *State v. Palmer*, 2017 ME 183, ¶¶ 2, 7, 169 A.3d 425.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

¹ The State moved to dismiss Perrotti’s appeal, and though we agree that Perrotti’s brief was filed after the deadline and did not comply with the Maine Rules of Appellate Procedure, *see* M.R. App. P. 7(b); M.R. App. P. 7A(a)(1), (g), we decline to dismiss the appeal because Perrotti’s brief was only three days late and the State does not contend it suffered any prejudice as a result of either the timing or form of Perrotti’s brief, *cf.* M.R. App. P. 4(c); M.R. App. P. 7(d).

Jeffrey Perrotti, appellant pro se

Kathryn L. Slattery, District Attorney and Justina McGettigan, Dept. Dist. Atty.,
Prosecutorial District 1, Biddeford, for appellee State of Maine

Violations Bureau case number 5120950
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY