

ADAM D. WILSON

v.

JANET KANTZ et al.

Submitted on Briefs March 27, 2024  
Decided April 9, 2024

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, HORTON, CONNORS, LAWRENCE, and DOUGLAS, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Adam D. Wilson appeals from a judgment entered by the Superior Court (Cumberland County, *Cashman, J.*) dismissing with prejudice, pursuant to M.R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), Wilson's complaint alleging legal malpractice against Janet Kantz et al.<sup>1</sup> Contrary to Wilson's contention, the court did not err in dismissing the complaint upon finding that his claims were either time-barred or failed to show that the defendants' actions caused him a cognizable injury. *See* 14 M.R.S. §§ 752, 753-B(1) (2023); *Reppucci v. Nadeau*, 2020 ME 114, ¶¶ 10-11, 238 A.3d 994; *Packgen, Inc. v. Bernstein, Shur, Sawyer & Nelson, P.A.*, 2019 ME 90, ¶ 36, 209 A.3d 116.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

<sup>1</sup> The complaint named as defendants Attorneys Janet Kantz and Kim Pittman, and the law firms of Vincent, Kantz, Pittman & Thompson, LLC, and Vincent & Kantz, LLC.

---

Adam Daley Wilson, Esq., Portland, for appellant Adam Wilson

Matthew S. Wahrer, Esq., Thompson Bowie & Hatch LLC, Portland, for appellees Janet Kantz; Kim Pittman; Vincent, Kantz, Pittman & Thompson LLC; and Vincent & Kantz, LLC

Cumberland County Superior Court docket number CV-2023-131  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY