

PAT DOE

v.

SAM ROE

Submitted on Briefs March 27, 2024  
Decided April 9, 2024

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, HORTON, CONNORS, LAWRENCE, and DOUGLAS, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Sam Roe<sup>1</sup> appeals from three judgments of the District Court (Houlton, *Faircloth, J.*)—(1) a final protection from harassment order against Roe in favor of Pat Doe, (2) a judgment in favor of Doe on Roe’s complaint against Doe for protection from harassment, and (3) a judgment in favor of Steven R. Porter on Roe’s complaint against Porter for protection from abuse.<sup>2</sup> Contrary to Roe’s contentions, the trial court’s finding that Roe harassed Doe was not clearly erroneous, and the evidence in the record did not compel the court to find that Doe harassed Roe or that Porter abused Roe. *See* 5 M.R.S. § 4651(2)(A) (2023); 19-A M.R.S. § 4102(1) (2023); *Doe v. Plourde*, 2019 ME 109, ¶ 8, 211 A.3d 1153; *Gehrke v. Gehrke*, 2015 ME 58, ¶ 8, 115 A.3d 1252; *Handrahan v. Malenko*, 2011 ME 15, ¶ 13, 12 A.3d 79.

---

<sup>1</sup> We use pseudonyms for two of the parties to avoid revealing “the identity or location of the party protected under [a protection] order.” 18 U.S.C.S. § 2265(d)(3) (LEXIS through Pub. L. No. 118-39); *see Doe v. Roe*, 2022 ME 39, n.1, 277 A.3d 369.

<sup>2</sup> Although Roe has included materials and argument in the appendix and in her brief related to a fourth matter, she has not filed a notice of appeal in that matter.

The entry is:

Judgments affirmed.

---

Sam Roe, appellant pro se

James M. Dunleavy, Esq., Currier, Trask & Dunleavy P.A., Presque Isle, for  
appellee Pat Doe

Houlton District Court docket numbers PA-2023-24, -33, -34  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY