## IN RE CHILD OF TYLER M.

## Submitted on Briefs July 18, 2023 Decided July 25, 2023

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, JABAR, HORTON, CONNORS, LAWRENCE, and DOUGLAS, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Tyler M. appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Portland, *Woodman, J.*) terminating his parental rights to his child. *See* 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(A)(1)(a), (B)(2)(a), (b)(iii) (2023). The father challenges the sufficiency of the evidence, although he failed to file a supplemental brief.<sup>1</sup> We nevertheless reviewed the record and conclude that the court did not err in its finding of unfitness, nor did it abuse its discretion in determining that termination of the father's parental rights is in the child's best interest. *See* 22 M.R.S. § 4002(1-A) (2023); *In re Child of Olivia F.*, 2019 ME 149, ¶¶ 7-8, 217 A.3d 1106.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The father's counsel filed a brief including a procedural history, a statement of facts, and a statement that he did not believe that there were any arguable issues of merit on appeal. *See In re M.C.*, 2014 ME 128, ¶¶ 7-8, 104 A.3d 139. The father was authorized to file a supplemental brief, but he did not.

Jason A. MacLean, Esq., Bridgton, for appellant Tyler M.

With leave of the Court, the Department of Health and Human Services did not file a brief

Portland District Court docket number PC-2021-5 For Clerk Reference Only