

SETH T. CAREY

v.

BOARD OF OVERSEERS OF THE BAR

Submitted on Briefs May 23, 2023
Decided June 20, 2023

Panel: JABAR, HORTON, CONNORS, and DOUGLAS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Seth T. Carey appeals from a decision and order of a single justice (*A. Murray, J.*) denying his petition for reinstatement to the Bar.¹ In reviewing the decision directly, we conclude that, contrary to Carey’s contentions, the single justice did not err or engage in any abuse of discretion in denying Carey’s reinstatement petition. Carey failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence either that he met each of the criteria required for reinstatement or that there is otherwise a good and sufficient reason to reinstate him to the bar. M. Bar. R. 29(a), (e)(1), (3)-(4), (g); *see In re Prolman*, 2022 ME 25, ¶¶ 8-9, 15, 273 A.3d 352; *In re Jonas*, 2017 ME 115, ¶¶ 1 n.1, 37-38, 164 A.3d 120.

Further, because “the single justice was bound to consider the petitioner’s *conduct as an attorney and litigant*,” there was no error or abuse of

¹ Carey raises a number of other federal and constitutional arguments that are not properly preserved for appellate review; because he raises them for the first time on appeal, we do not consider them. *See, e.g., Adoption by Jessica M.*, 2020 ME 118, ¶ 13 n.10, 239 A.3d 633; *Maquoit Bay, LLC v. Dep’t of Marine Res.*, 2022 ME 19, ¶ 21 n.8, 271 A.3d 1183.

discretion in the admission of Carey's email communications regarding the proceeding. *In re Jonas*, 2017 ME 115, ¶¶ 37-38, 164 A.3d 120.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Seth T. Carey, appellant pro se

Audrey B. Braccio, Esq., Board of Overseers of the Bar, Augusta, for appellee
Board of Overseers of the Bar

Supreme Judicial Court docket numbers Bar-21-7 and Bar-22-1
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY