

STATE OF MAINE

v.

CHRISTOPHER A. CHILLY

Submitted on Briefs December 28, 2022
Decided January 5, 2023

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, JABAR, HORTON, and CONNORS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Christopher A. Chilly appeals from a judgment of conviction of assault (Class D), 17-A M.R.S. § 207(1)(A) (2022), entered by the trial court (Piscataquis County, *Anderson, J.*) after a nonjury trial. Contrary to Chilly's contentions, viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the State, the court could rationally find that (1) the State proved every element of assault beyond a reasonable doubt, *see id.*; *State v. Bittues*, 2019 ME 83, ¶ 7, 208 A.3d 800; *Stein v. Me. Crim. Just. Acad.*, 2014 ME 82, ¶ 17, 95 A.3d 612, and (2) the State disproved Chilly's self-defense justification beyond a reasonable doubt, *see* 17-A M.R.S. § 108(1) (2022); *State v. Cardilli*, 2021 ME 31, ¶ 20, 254 A.3d 415.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Darius Wadia, Esq., Portland, for appellant Christopher A. Chilly

Marianne Lynch, District Attorney, and Chelsea R. Lynds, Asst. Dist. Atty.,
Prosecutorial District V, Bangor, for appellee State of Maine

Piscataquis County Unified Criminal Docket docket number CR-2020-27
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY