

IN RE CHILD OF JOHN G.

Submitted on Briefs January 25, 2023

Decided February 2, 2023

Panel: MEAD, JABAR, HORTON, CONNORS, and LAWRENCE, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

John G. appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Portland, *Woodman, J.*) terminating his parental rights to his child. Contrary to his contentions, the court did not err in finding, by clear and convincing evidence, that the father was unwilling or unable to take responsibility for the child and protect them from jeopardy within a time reasonably calculated to meet the child's needs due to the father's failure to take any action to rehabilitate and reunify with the child. See 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(A)(1)(a), (B)(2)(b)(i), (ii) (2022); *In re Children of Anthony L.*, 2019 ME 62, ¶¶ 6-11, 207 A.3d 624; *In re Heather C.*, 2000 ME 99, ¶¶ 20-32, 751 A.2d 448; *In re Children of Matthew G.*, 2019 ME 106, ¶ 5, 211 A.3d 226. We also discern no error or abuse of discretion in the court's determination that termination of the father's parental rights is in the child's best interest. See 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(B)(2)(a) (2022); *In re Child of Ronald W.*, 2018 ME 107, ¶¶ 11-13, 190 A.3d 1029; *In re Thomas H.*, 2005 ME 123, ¶¶ 22-34, 889 A.2d 297.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Jeffrey S. Dolley, Esq., Lewiston, for appellant Father

Aaron M. Frey, Attorney General, Hunter C. Umphrey, Asst. Atty. Gen., and Julia Casey, Stud. Atty., Office of the Attorney General, Bangor, for appellee Department of Health and Human Services

Portland District Court docket number PC-2021-71
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY