

ELIZABETH G. LESNIAK

v.

EDWARD J. LESNIAK III

Submitted on Briefs December 20, 2023

Decided December 28, 2023

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, JABAR, HORTON, CONNORS, and DOUGLAS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Edward J. Lesniak III appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Portland, *French, J.*) modifying a divorce judgment that had established parental rights and responsibilities between him and Elizabeth G. Lesniak as to their child and had required him to pay child support and spousal support. Contrary to his contentions, the record does not demonstrate that the court's judgment was based on perjured or false testimony or misrepresentation by counsel, *see Allen v. Rae*, 2019 ME 53, ¶ 9, 206 A.3d 902; *cf.* 17-A M.R.S. § 451(1) (2023); and there is competent evidence in the record to support the court's findings regarding the parties' incomes, *see* 19-A M.R.S. §§ 951-A(5), 2001(5), 2006(1) (2023); *Dargie v. Dargie*, 2001 ME 127, ¶ 18, 778 A.2d 353. The court did not commit obvious error in reinstating the award of general spousal support to the mother when payments had been suspended by agreement due to the father's loss of his job but he had found new employment by the time of trial, *see Ackerman v. Yates*, 2004 ME 56, ¶ 20, 847 A.2d 418; *cf. Haskell v. Haskell*, 2017 ME 91, ¶ 16, 160 A.3d 1176; nor did the court err or abuse its discretion in allowing the mother to select child health insurance, *Pyle v. Pyle*,

2017 ME 101, ¶ 10, 162 A.3d 814, or in ordering the father to pay attorney fees, *see Moran v. Moran*, 2022 ME 43, ¶ 24, 279 A.3d 385.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Edward J. Lesniak III, appellant pro se

Hesper Schleiderer-Hardy, Esq., Childs Rundlett & Altshuler, Portland, for
appellee Elizabeth G. Lesniak

Portland District Court docket number FM-2018-324
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY