## PAT DOE

v.

## SARAH M. CHAGNON

Submitted on Briefs October 18, 2023 Decided October 26, 2023

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, JABAR, HORTON, CONNORS, and LAWRENCE, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Sarah M. Chagnon appeals from the District Court's (York, *Tice, J.*) grant of Pat Doe's motion to extend an order for protection from abuse.¹ Contrary to Chagnon's contentions, competent evidence supports the court's order, and the court did not err in extending the protection from abuse order for one year with a modification establishing specified rights of contact for Chagnon. *See Walton v. Ireland*, 2014 ME 130, ¶ 22, 104 A.3d 883; *Gehrke v. Gehrke*, 2015 ME 58, ¶ 18, 115 A.3d 1252; 19-A M.R.S. § 4111(1) (2023).

To the extent that Chagnon preserved the issue, we conclude that the court adequately considered and addressed her accommodation requests under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 12101-12213 (Westlaw through Pub. L. No. 118-19). Chagnon raises several other arguments on appeal that we consider her to have waived either because she failed to preserve or develop the arguments or because they are not supported by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to federal law, we do not identify the plaintiff in a protection from abuse action and limit our description of events and locations to avoid revealing "the identity or location of the party protected under [a protection] order" as required by 18 U.S.C.A. § 2265(d)(3) (Westlaw through Pub. L. No. 118-19). *See Doe v. Tierney*, 2018 ME 101, n.1, 189 A.3d 756.

law. See Capelety v. Estes, 2023 ME 50,  $\P$  16 n.3, 300 A.3d 817; Mehlhorn v. Derby, 2006 ME 110,  $\P$  11, 905 A.2d 290.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Sarah M. Chagnon, appellant pro se

Pat Doe, appellee pro se

York District Court docket number PA-2019-140 For Clerk Reference Only