

COURTNEY M. ARTHERS

v.

NICHOLAS P. ARTHERS

Submitted on Briefs October 18, 2023
Decided October 26, 2023

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, JABAR, HORTON, CONNORS, LAWRENCE,
and DOUGLAS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Nicholas Arthers appeals from a divorce judgment entered by the District Court (Bangor, *Faircloth, J.*) after a final hearing. Contrary to Mr. Arthers's contentions, the court's order limiting the divorce hearing to two days did not violate his right to due process because he was still afforded "the opportunity to be heard at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner." *Aubuchon v. Blaisdell*, 2023 ME 5, ¶ 11, 288 A.3d 805 (quotation marks omitted). In addition, and again contrary to Mr. Arthers's contentions, the divorce court's findings of fact are supported by competent evidence in the record, *see Allen v. Rae*, 2019 ME 53, ¶ 7, 206 A.3d 902; and the court did not abuse its discretion when it divided the parties' marital property, *see Sears v. Sears*, 2023 ME 45, ¶ 20, 299 A.3d 15 (citing 19-A M.R.S. § 953(1) (2023)), determined the parental rights and responsibilities of the parties, *see Ewing-Wegmann v. Allerding*, 2023 ME 47, ¶ 11, 299 A.3d 609 (citing 19-A M.R.S. § 1653(3) (2023)), declined to award spousal support to Mr. Arthers, *see Jandreau v. LaChance*, 2015 ME 66, ¶¶ 14-15, 116 A.3d 1273, *as corrected* (May 28, 2015) (citing 19-A M.R.S. § 951-A(5) (2014)), or denied Mr. Arthers's request for attorney fees, *see Sears*, 2023 ME 45, ¶ 24, 299 A.3d 15 (citing 19-A M.R.S. § 105 (2023)).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Nicholas P. Arthers, appellant pro se

Martha J. Harris, Esq., Paine, Lynch & Harris, P.A., Bangor, for appellee Courtney M. Arthers

Bangor District Court docket number FM-2019-591
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY