

IN RE CHILDREN OF JEREMY T.

Submitted on Briefs September 27, 2023

Decided October 10, 2023

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, JABAR, CONNORS, LAWRENCE, and DOUGLAS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Jeremy T. and Amanda D. appeal from a judgment of the District Court (Waterville, *Dow, J.*) terminating their parental rights to their children. Contrary to the father's contentions, the quality of the record does not generate a basis for appeal. *See, e.g., Springer v. Springer*, 2009 ME 118, ¶ 2, 984 A.2d 828. Further, the court did not err in finding, by clear and convincing evidence, grounds for his parental unfitness. *See* 22 M.R.S. §§ 4055 (1)(A)(1)(a), (1)(B)(2)(a), (b)(i)-(ii), (2023); *see, e.g., In re Child of Louise G.*, 2020 ME 87, ¶ 8, 236 A.3d 445. Finally, the father did not preserve for appeal his argument concerning his remote participation in the termination hearing. *See, e.g., In re Child of Mercedes D.*, 2019 ME 149, ¶ 14 n.3, 196 A.3d 888; *In re Anthony R.*, 2010 ME 4, ¶ 10, 987 A.2d 532.

Contrary to the mother's contention, her due process rights were not violated when her motion to continue was denied on the morning of the termination hearing. *See, e.g., In re Children of Benjamin W.*, 2019 ME 147, ¶ 8, 216 A.3d 901; *see also In re Child of Danielle F.*, 2019 ME 65, ¶ 6, 207 A.3d 1193; *In re Child of Tanya C.*, 2018 ME 153, ¶ 12, 198 A.3d 777.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Mary-Ann Letourneau, Esq., Holmes Legal Group, LLC, Wells, for appellant Jeremy T.

Jason A. MacLean, Esq., Bridgton, for appellant Amanda D.

Aaron M. Frey, Attorney General, and Hunter C. Umphrey, Asst. Atty. Gen., Office of the Attorney General, Bangor, for appellee Department of Health and Human Services

Waterville District Court docket number PC-2021-37
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY