

JOANNA KHEANG<sup>1</sup>

v.

KLANEL Q. LOUIS

Submitted on Briefs July 13, 2021  
Decided July 20, 2021

Panel: MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HUMPHREY, and HORTON, JJ.

#### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Klanel Q. Louis appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Portland, *Powers, J.*) entering a protection from harassment order for Joanna Kheang on Kheang's complaint against Louis. *See* 5 M.R.S. § 4655 (2021). Contrary to Louis's contention, the court did not err or abuse its discretion in admitting Kheang's video evidence. *See* M.R. Evid. 901(a); *State v. Tieman*, 2019 ME 60, ¶ 13, 207 A.3d 618. Additionally, the court's finding that Louis committed three or more acts of harassment within the meaning of 5 M.R.S. § 4651(2)(A) (2021) was supported by competent evidence in the record. *See Doe v. Plourde*, 2019 ME 109, ¶ 8, 211 A.3d 1153.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

<sup>1</sup> Cognizant of the federal Violence Against Women Act, we note that this matter does not involve a judicial determination that domestic violence or stalking occurred, and we therefore name Kheang in the decision. *See* 18 U.S.C.S. §§ 2261, 2261A, 2265(d)(3), 2266 (LEXIS through Pub. L. No. 116-344).

Klanel Louis, appellant pro se

Joanna Kheang did not file a brief

Portland District Court docket number PA-2020-864  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY