DAVID R. FURROW

v.

BATH IRON WORKS CORPORATION et al.

Argued December 8, 2021 Decided December 21, 2021

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HUMPHREY, HORTON, and CONNORS, JI.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

David R. Furrow appeals from a decision of the Workers' Compensation Board Appellate Division affirming a decree of a WCB Administrative Law Judge (*Knopf, ALJ*) denying a petition for award of compensation that Furrow filed in 2014 based on an injury that occurred in 1981. *See* 39-A M.R.S. § 322 (2021). Contrary to Furrow's arguments, we discern no error in the Appellate Division's conclusion that Furrow's petition was time-barred based on the expiration of the ten-year statutory period of repose. *See* 39 M.R.S.A. § 95 (Pamph. 1983) ("No petition of any kind may be filed more than 10 years following the date of the latest payment made under this Act."); *Bailey v. City of Lewiston*, 2017 ME 160, ¶ 9, 168 A.3d 762 ("[W]e... afford appropriate deference to the Appellate Division's reasonable interpretation of the workers' compensation statute and will uphold the Appellate Division's interpretation unless the plain

¹ The statute applicable in this case, 39 M.R.S.A. § 95 (Pamph. 1983), has since been amended, repealed, and replaced. *See, e.g.*, P.L. 1989, ch. 256, § 4 (effective Sept. 30, 1989); P.L. 1991, ch. 615, § A-44 (effective Oct. 17, 1991); P.L. 1991, ch. 885, §§ A-7, A-8, A-11 (effective Jan. 1, 1993).

language of the statute and its legislative history compel a contrary result." (quotation marks and citation omitted)).

The entry is:

The decision of the Workers' Compensation Board Appellate Division is affirmed.

James J. MacAdam, Esq. (orally), Nathan A. Jury, Esq., and Donald M. Murphy, Esq., MacAdam Jury, P.A., Freeport, for appellant David Furrow

Cara Biddings, Esq. (orally), Robinson, Kriger & McCallum, Portland, for appellee Bath Iron Works Corporation

Lindsey M. Sands, Esq., Norman, Hanson & DeTroy, LLC, Portland, for appellee Bath Iron Works Corporation self-insured

Workers Compensation Board Appellate Division case number 18-0036 For Clerk Reference Only