

JOSEPH RASMUSSEN

v.

ARTHUR LANGLEY et al.

Submitted on Briefs on October 20, 2021  
Decided November 2, 2021

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HUMPHREY, HORTON, and  
CONNORS, JJ.

#### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Joseph Rasmussen appeals from a judgment entered in the Superior Court (Washington County, *Mallonee, J.*) affirming the District Court's (Machias, *Rushlau, J.*) denial of his objection to the consolidation of two default judgments he obtained against Arthur Langley and Linda Gray. We affirm the District Court's denial of Rasmussen's objection to the consolidation of the judgments because the two judgments reflect a single claim, and enforcing both would violate the \$6,000 judgment cap per claim. *See* 14 M.R.S. § 7481 (2021) ("There is established a small claims proceeding for the purpose of providing a simple, speedy and informal court procedure for the resolution of small claims."); *see also* 14 M.R.S. § 7482 (2021) ("[A] small claim means a right of action cognizable by a court if the debt or damage does not exceed \$6,000 exclusive of interest and costs." (quotation marks omitted)).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

Zachary J. Smith, Esq., Lawsmith Legal Services, L.L.C., Bangor, for appellant  
Joseph Rasmussen

Arthur Langley did not file a brief

Linda Gray did not file a brief

Washington County Superior Court docket numbers AP-2019-04 & AP-2019-05  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY