

KERRY L. HEWSON

v.

THOMAS J. HEWSON

Submitted on Briefs October 21, 2020
Decided November 10, 2020

Panel: MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HUMPHREY, HORTON, and CONNORS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Kerry Hewson appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Lewiston, *Ham-Thompson, J.*) granting Kerry and Thomas Hewson’s divorce.

Kerry asserts that the court erred in its divorce judgment on the following matters: (1) the imputation of income to Kerry; (2) the valuation of the marital home; (3) the characterization of certain debt as marital; (4) the denial of attorney fees; (5) the ordering of the sale or refinancing of the marital home; and (6) the amount, type, and duration of spousal support awarded to Kerry. Contrary to Kerry’s contentions, there was ample evidence to support all of the findings in the court’s judgment, and we discern no error—let alone clear error—in those findings. *See Stacey-Sotiriou v. Sotiriou*, 2014 ME 145, ¶ 20, 106 A.3d 417; *Berntsen v. Berntsen*, 2017 ME 111, ¶ 13, 163 A.3d 820; *Young v. Young*, 2015 ME 89, ¶ 13, 120 A.3d 106; *Miele v. Miele*, 2003 ME 113, ¶ 11, 832 A.2d 760, 763; *Nadeau v. Nadeau*, 2008 ME 147, ¶ 56, 957 A.2d 108. In addition, the District Court did not abuse its discretion in the decisions it made

concerning those findings.¹ *Jandreau v. LaChance*, 2015 ME 66, ¶ 29, 116 A.3d 1273.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Kerry L. Hewson, appellant, pro se

Elliott L. Epstein, Esq., Andrucki & King, Lewiston, for appellee Thomas Hewson

Lewiston District Court docket numbers FM-2018-592 & PA-2019-114
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY

¹ Kerry also filed a notice of appeal in the protection from abuse judgment (LEWDC-PA-2019-00114), however, Kerry has waived any challenge to the judgment by failing to develop any argument in briefing. *Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC v. Bartlett*, 2014 ME 37, ¶ 15 n.5, 87 A.3d 741.